

From my wife's attorney's "Trial Management Certificate" faxed to my attorney's office after-hours on Friday 03-17-06, for the final divorce hearing scheduled for Tuesday 03-21-06:

The Petitioner believes that she should have sole decision making on all matters for the parties' minor children as she has had under the Temporary Findings and Orders of this Court.
(I was always the sole-decision maker for my children prior to the divorce, due to my wife's immaturity and inability to make proper decisions. "Temporary Findings and Orders" were previously obtained by fraud, and therefore, were void. Sole decision-making ability was also controlled by fraudulently obtaining restraining orders that would "tie the judge's hands" for temporary orders and permanent orders.)

Presently the Respondent has until school on Monday, however the children are exhausted and cranky on Monday evenings due to not getting to bed on time on Sunday evening when with the Respondent. The Respondent should also have any Friday or Monday school holidays that fall on his weekend. However, the Petitioner also believes that the Respondent's parenting time needs to be closely monitored, and perhaps supervised, by a Child and Family Investigator as Parenting Time Facilitator
(The children were always well rested when with me and often went to bed early on Sunday. The lies about not getting to bed on time and my parenting time needing to be closely monitored by a CFI (who was obviously fully in their camp when this was written) resulted in the divorce judge restricting my time even further.)

The Petitioner believes that the Respondent is voluntarily unemployed, or underemployed pursuant to C.R.S. 14-10-115, and that his gross monthly income should be based upon his regular W-2 earnings in 200, before he began planning the divorce, of \$96,263.00 per year, or \$8,022.00 per month. The Petitioner's gross monthly income is as set forth on her Financial Affidavit attached hereto, or \$1,268.00 per month.
(I was involuntarily unemployed due to my wife and her attorney's continued hold on my "tools and necessary work equipment", which are still being held in contempt to this day in 2009. This and the lies about my income, with no mention of the facts surrounding my semi-retirement, resulted in the divorce judge's clearly erroneous findings regarding my work status and income. My wife's attorney also knew that the statement, "before he began planning the divorce", was false.)

The Petitioner would like the present arrangement on health insurance to continue with the Respondent providing the childrens' health insurance through a Kaiser Health Insurance policy which the Husband previously acquired and has maintained for himself and the children.

The Petitioner's position is that for so long as the Respondent is claiming to be unemployed and without income that he has no need for any of the children as tax exemptions; and that she should get all five children.

(My wife got exactly what she asked for in regards to health insurance and I have maintained it since, but have no insurance for myself. The exemptions were split by the divorce judge, but my wife has continued to claim all five exemptions and refuses to provide copies of her tax returns for court and administrative processes, which is another contempt.)

The Petitioner believes that her separate property first needs to be awarded to her, to wit: the \$25000.00 she had put down on her home that was her property prior to the parties' marriage, and the \$25,000.00 awarded to her for her carpal tunnel wrist injury, and the \$18,000.00 she inherited from her mother's estate.

(Our first home was purchased just a few days before our wedding in 1990 and since I was between jobs and she could qualify on her own, she signed the papers by herself. The mortgage was for nearly 100% of the purchase price (\$44,800.00), so it is easily proven that "the \$25000.00 she had put down on her home" was a blatant lie by both my wife and her attorney, who knew the facts and even has a degree in mathematics. (Each of his statements to fool the judge about money matters is a separate count of Fraud.) About 3 years after we were married, we paid off the first home with her settlement check for her "injury" of about \$23000.00 and about \$20000.00 that I had saved up. She inherited about \$10000.00 from her parents, but claimed \$18000.00. All of these frauds were done with an eye on obtaining the current paid off home.)

Petitioner needs the former marital home as her solo property since she is the primary residential parent of the children and it is the home the children have known.

(My wife and her attorney made no mention of (or avoided) the facts that I built the home and a home-based business on the property, that I had paid off the home and had semi-retired, or that my business was headquartered on the property with a 1250 SF shop, two offices, and a storage shed under construction. The judge didn't give any weight at all to these facts, or to the facts that my construction tools and necessary work equipment were still being held at the property, or to the fact that my wife had agreed just before she filed for her divorce that she would move "in the best interests of the kids" so I could continue with my business plans, or to the obvious facts that in preparation for my new business of making log products I had stockpiled and draw-knifed hundreds of logs, that the 27-acre property had 1000s of trees to sustain the business indefinitely, and that the business could not be operated anywhere else.)

The Respondent may have the Inc. business and the \$100,000.00, plus accrued interest, owed to the parties' by the Respondent's brother, Respondent on the day that died be returned to her as marital monies, together with the other sums that the Respondent allegedly surreptitiously removed from the parties' marital accounts. The Petitioner would also like the Respondent to be solely responsible for all of the credit card debt she had accrued prior to the filing of this action and has accrued during the pendency of this action.

(This fraud regarding my contractor business was also very obvious, and the judge knew that the only assets the business had were its computer and office equipment, which were still being held hostage at the property by my wife during the final divorce hearings. The previous perjury in sworn court documents about \$20,000.00 deposited in my brother's account on the day he died, changed to \$15,000.00, which the divorce judge knew to be a blatant lie. The judge also didn't require adequate proof (i.e. cancelled checks), and despite the known fraud, ordered me to pay my wife \$12,500.00 for money that she claimed I hid in my brother's account.)

The Petitioner believes that the children received most of the beanie baby toys from her sister and her mother and that the children should be allowed to keep their toys.

(Our children received approximately 50 (of the 4300+) Beanie Babies from their grandmother and aunt. The rest had been purchased with marital funds, stockpiled for a "business" my wife said she was starting, packed neatly in 71 boxes with "tag protectors", and mixed in with other stored boxes in the nooks and crannies of the house and under the stairs and in the garage. My wife's sisters were very much a part of this fraud too and, in fact, had known about and had encouraged and helped my wife in her plans to divorce me since early in our marriage.)

Petitioner believes that all of her attorney fees and costs should be paid by the Respondent

(The greed was unreal. She filed for divorce against my wishes and the wishes of our children. And, she testified twice under oath that her attorney had "graciously" waived all his fees for her, because he is an 'outstanding Christian' in our mutual Catholic Church. But still, in addition to everything else, the judge awarded her \$12,000.00 in attorney's fees also.)

The parties had agreed to seek alternative dispute resolution, however, neither of three mediators the parties attempted to schedule with had an available date that cleared with all of the parties and their counsel.

(I never heard or read a word about "alternative dispute resolution" during the divorce, but found out after that it is required by law to be available as an alternative to litigation.)

Additionally at Temporary Orders, the Magistrate deferred the issue of child support due from January 25, 2005 through July of 2005 until Permanent Orders; Petitioner also requests entry of judgment on the utility bills and auto insurance, that the Respondent had been ordered to pay in Temporary Orders but which he quit paying and she has had to pay by credit card advances.

(The temporary order about me paying my wife's utility bills, etc., was obtained by fraud. Here, my wife's attorney lies again to make it appear that my wife is financially hard pressed, knowing full well that she wasn't.)

Additionally, the Petitioner claims that the Respondent withdrew and secreted marital monies into his now deceased brother's name during the last three years of the marriage.

(Another fraud using my dead brother: My wife and her attorney didn't prove this either; they just submitted copies of my brother's back statements, pointed out a few large deposits, and verbally claimed that the money came from me. The judge found out all about this fraud in the final hearings and knew that the bank statements were inadmissible and that only cancelled checks can prove a claim like this, but ordered me to pay my wife an additional \$12,500.00 anyway.)